

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/508,749	04/14/2005	James Martin	P/63564	8352	
156 KIRSCHSTEI	7590 N, OTTINGER, ISRAE		EXAM	UNER	
& SCHIFFMI	LLER, P.C.		WANG, QUAN ZHEN		
425 FIFTH AV 5TH FLOOR	VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10016-2223		2613		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/21/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/508,749	MARTIN, JAMES	
Examiner	Art Unit	
QUAN-ZHEN WANG	2613	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

eam	ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>11 February 2008</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 21	b) This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	tion of Claims		
4)🛛	Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.	

4)🛛	Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)🛛	Claim(s) 9-16 is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on ______is/are: a) ____accepted or b) _____ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

11)	The oath or declara	ation is objected to b	by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action	or form P7	O-15
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §	119					

a)∏ All	b) Some * c) None of:
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.□	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/11/08.	6) Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/508,749

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Chang et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 203/0117678 A1) in view of Smith et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2003/0020977 A1).

Regarding claim 9, Chang discloses a communications system (fig. 2) comprising:

a communications network (fig. 2, network 200; figs. 3-5) comprising network nodes (fig. 2, nodes 121, 123, 124, 125) and network links between the network nodes (fig. 2, the links between the nodes);

and a network management system (fig. 4, combination of the NC&M 220 and module 410) for allocating connections to the network, the connections utilizing the network nodes and the network links;

in respect of each said connection, there being a number of possible ways to implement the connection in the network (fig. 2, alternative path and alternative wavelength);

Art Unit: 2613

the network management system including a network state store which maintains a continuously updated record of current network usage (fig. 2, NC&M 220; paragraph 0119);

the network management system, when allocating the connection to the network, selecting one of the number of possible ways to implement the connection;

the network management system, when deciding whether to accept or reject a request for the connection on the network, having an option to accommodate the request to reconfigure existing connections on the network by selecting, in respect of at least one existing connection reconfigured, a different one of the number of possible ways to implement the connection (see, for example, paragraph 0110);

the reconfiguration by the network management system being constrained to a set of possible reconfigurations which is a subset of the set of all possible reconfigurations of the existing connections on the network (inherent), said subset being defined by those reconfigurations that can be carried out with no interruption (fig. 2, alternate path and alternate wavelength).

Chang differs from the claimed invention in that Chang does not specifically disclose that the network management storing information on network which current connections are reconfigurable and which are not. However, it is well known in the art to include unreconfigurable connections in a network. For example, Smith discloses to include unreconfigurable connections in a network (paragraph 0079, "the first link between node A and the first intermediate node along the path is <u>fixed</u>") and the reconfiguration by the network management system is inherently constrained to

Art Unit: 2613

reconfiguration of only the reconfigurable connections (paragraph 0079). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to configure the system of Chang to include connections that are reconfigurable and connections that are not reconfigurable and to store the information in the network management system. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to include pre-provisioned transponders in some of the nodes in the network.

Regarding claims 10 and 16, the modified system of Chang and Smith further includes unreconfigurable connections in a network (Smith: paragraph 0079, "the first link between node A and the first intermediate node along the path is <u>fixed</u>") and the reconfiguration by the network management system is inherently constrained to reconfiguration of only the reconfigurable connections (paragraph 0079).

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Change further discloses that the network management system reconfigures a reconfigurable connection by changing one of a wavelength on which the connection is made (fig. 2, alternative wavelength) and a route taken by the connection (fig. 2, alternative path).

Regarding claim 13, Chang further discloses that the reconfiguration by the network management system is constrained such that each existing connection on the network is reconfigured, but not every time in all ways possible for that connection (paragraph 0110).

Regarding claim 14, regarding claim 14, Chang further discloses that each connection comprises a main and a standby path, and the reconfiguration by the

Art Unit: 2613

network management system is constrained in that only the standby path, and not the main path, of the connection is changed (paragraph 0113. Note that the NC&M computes and updating the routing tables based on the network parameters, including the sate of communication lines).

Regarding claim 15, Chang further discloses that when first implementing the connection on the network, it is possible to choose both a route the connection will take and a wavelength on which the connection will be made, the reconfiguration by the network management system being constrained in that only one of the route and the wavelength of the connection is changed, not both (fig. 2).

Response to Arguments

3. Regarding claim 9, Applicant argues that the "reference relates to wavelength division multiplexed label switching rather than the reconfiguration of connections using a network management system so that a further connection can be accommodated". However, in accordance with MPEP, "USPTO personnel are to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim should not be read into the claim. E-Pass Techs., Inc. v. 3Com Corp., 343 F.3d 1364, 1369, 67 USPQ2d 1947, 1950 (Fed. Cir. 2003)" (MPEP §2106). For the instant case, the network control and management (NC&M 220) and module 410 of Chang, setting and allocating connections between nodes in the system as shown in fig. 2, clearly reads on the claimed network

Art Unit: 2613

management system for allocating connections to the network, the connections utilizing the network nodes and the network links; the alternative path and alternative wavelength of Chang clearly reads on the claimed limitation of "a number of possible ways to implement the connection in the network".

 Applicant's other arguments filed on 2/11/2008 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,749 Page 7

Art Unit: 2613

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lu et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2002/0191247 A1) disclose a WDM network has a restoration process to re-route wavelengths.

Halgren et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2004/0052520 A1) disclose a WDM network having path protection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Quan-Zhen Wang whose telephone number is (571)
 272-3114. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM, Monday Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,749 Page 8

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/qzw/ 5/14/2008

/Jason Chan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2613